



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,799	09/10/2003	Seong-Jin Moon	1101.0109	1813
86980 7590 01/21/2011 North Star Intellectual Property Law, PC P.O. Box 34688 Washington, DC 20043				
EXAMINER				
TOPGYAL, GELEK W				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2481				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/21/2011		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

info@nsiplaw.com
uspto@nsiplaw.com
nsiplaw@gmail.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/658,799

Applicant(s)

MOON ET AL.

Examiner

GELEK TOPGYAL

Art Unit

2481

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 November 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5 and 42-47 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5 and 42-47 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 9/10/2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4-5, and 42-47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Remarks

2. Claims 42-46 are statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101 as said claims are directed toward a particular apparatus (A reproducing apparatus for reproducing data from an optical data storage medium) which when interpreted in light of the specification is not considered to read on software/computer listings per se.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422

F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. **Claims 1-2, 4-5, and 42-47** are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 42 and 44-45 of copending Application No. 10/658,799, hereinafter #799 in view of Okada (US 2002/0194618) (hereinafter "Okada"). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because

5. **Regarding claim 42** of the instant application:

Claim 42 of 10/658,799	Claim 5 of 12/170,942
A reproducing apparatus for reproducing data from an optical data storage medium, comprising: a reader configured to read a first file, second file and an executable program from the data storage medium, the first file comprising at least one clip, each clip comprising audio visual stream data	A reproducing apparatus for reproducing data from a data storage medium, comprising: a reader which reads a first file comprising at least one clip, the clip comprising the audio visual stream data
a time map comprising information on	a time map comprising information on

reproduction time when the audio visual stream data is reproduced and information on a reproduction position of the audio visual stream data corresponding to the reproduction time	reproduction time when the audio visual stream data is reproduced and information on a reproduction position of the audio visual stream data corresponding to the reproduction time
a second file comprising at least one reproduction information unit for reproducing audio visual stream data, each reproduction information unit comprising information indicating a reproduction interval of a corresponding clip	a second file comprising reproduction information for reproducing audio visual stream data, the reproduction information comprising information indicating a reproduction interval of the clip
an executable program comprising navigation data including comprising at least one command, each command controlling reproduction of a corresponding reproduction information unit	
a controller configured to reproduce the audio visual stream data from the optical data storage medium based on the first file, the second file, and the executable program, wherein the first file, the second file and the executable program third are recorded separately on the optical data storage medium.	a controller which reproduces the audio visual stream data from the data storage medium based on the first file, the second file, and the third file, wherein the first file, the second file, and the third file are recorded separately on the data storage medium.
wherein the plurality of commands comprises commands configured to change an execution order of the commands	

It should be noted that the table above distinguishes the equivalent limitations as recited claim 42 of the instant application in comparison to the limitations as recited in claim 5 of #942.

However, claim 5 of #942 fails to teach an executable program comprising navigation data including comprising at least one command, each command controlling reproduction of a corresponding reproduction information unit and wherein the plurality of commands comprises commands configured to change an execution order of the commands.

In an analogous art, Okada teaches an executable program comprising navigation data comprising at least one command, each command controlling reproduction of a corresponding reproduction information unit; and (paragraphs 335-337 and 374-378 teaches an instant of control data in the form of "selectTitle function is called" when a particular title from the Menu is selected. The "selectTitle function" thus causes the "getTitle" function of the "Package instance" which calls the "play" function of the "Title Instance". Thus when a menu is displayed (by running menu.xml file) and a title is selected, the title.xml file is run to playback the selected title. The stream.mpg file and stream.xml file corresponding to the title is therefore selected for playback (specifically paragraph 314)); and wherein the plurality of commands comprises commands configured to change an execution order of the commands (as discussed above, the number of commands, for at least the "selectTitle" function exists for all the various titles stored upon an optical disc (see Fig. 31). Based on a selection of "Title 1" vs. a selection of "Title 2", the execution order of the commands associated with each Title is changed).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the ability to include an executable program to

include commands to control and change the execution order of the as taught by Okada into claim 5 of #942 because said incorporation allows for the benefit of accurately performing playback of the stream at a given particular time (Okada: paragraph 268).

Claim 43 of the instant application is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 42 of the instant application above and furthermore, Okada teaches the claimed wherein the audio visual stream data is video object data, still image data, or audio data (Fig. 14 and 15, teaches of AV Data or Still images stored as "stream*.mpg", "titlemenu.jpg", "chaptermenu*.jpg", etc). The prior motivation as discussed above is incorporated herein.

Claims 44 and 45 of the instant application corresponds to claims 7 and 8 of #942.

Regarding claim 46 of the instant application, Okada teaches the claimed wherein the "selectTitle" function is due to a result of a user selecting a particular title for playback (see Fig. 31). The prior motivation as discussed above is incorporated herein.

Medium claims 1, 2 and 4-5 of the instant application are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above in apparatus claims 42-45 of the instant application, respectively.

Medium claim 47 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 42 of the instant application above.

6. **Claims 1-2, 4-5, and 42-47** are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-

4 of copending Application No. 12/170,911 (hereinafter #911) in view of Okada et al.
(US 2002/0194618) (hereinafter "Okada").

7. **Regarding claim 42** of the instant application:

Claim 42 of 10/658,799	Claim 1 of 12/170,911
A reproducing apparatus for reproducing data from an optical data storage medium, comprising: a reader configured to read a first file, second file and an executable program from the data storage medium, the first file comprising at least one clip, each clip comprising audio visual stream data	A method for reproducing data from a data storage medium, comprising: reading a first file comprising reproduction information for reproducing audio visual stream data
a time map comprising information on reproduction time when the audio visual stream data is reproduced and information on a reproduction position of the audio visual stream data corresponding to the reproduction time	
a second file comprising at least one reproduction information unit for reproducing audio visual stream data, each reproduction information unit comprising information indicating a reproduction interval of a corresponding clip	a second file comprising navigation data which is used to select the reproduction information from the data storage medium
an executable program comprising navigation data including comprising at least one command, each command controlling reproduction of a corresponding reproduction information unit	
a controller configured to reproduce the audio visual stream data from the optical data storage medium based	reproducing the audio visual stream data from the data storage medium based on the first file and the second

on the first file, the second file, and the executable program, wherein the first file, the second file and the executable program third are recorded separately on the optical data storage medium.	file, wherein the first file and the second file are recorded separately on the data storage medium
wherein the plurality of commands comprises commands configured to change an execution order of the commands	

It should be noted that the table above distinguishes the equivalent limitations as recited claim 42 of the instant application in comparison to the limitations as recited in claim 1 of #911. Furthermore, it is also noted that the method of reproducing in claim 1 of #911 can be performed by the reproducing apparatus of claim 42 of the instant application.

However, claim 1 of #911 fails to teach a time map comprising information on reproduction time when the audio visual stream data is reproduced and information on a reproduction position of the audio visual stream data corresponding to the reproduction time; an executable program comprising navigation data including comprising at least one command, each command controlling reproduction of a corresponding reproduction information unit and wherein the plurality of commands comprises commands configured to change an execution order of the commands.

In an analogous art, Okada teaches a time map comprising information on reproduction time when the audio visual stream data is reproduced (met by "stream.xml" in Fig. 19 and paragraphs 250-272 and the "stream*.mpg" in paragraphs 153-154, 252-

254. The "stream.xml" further includes "TIMEMAP" information as discussed in paragraphs 266-272") and information on a reproduction position of the audio visual stream data corresponding to the reproduction time (Paragraphs 267-272 teaches that the "stream.xml" further includes "ENTRY" data that includes time information and address information used during playback to find the respective reproduction positions); an executable program comprising navigation data comprising at least one command, each command controlling reproduction of a corresponding reproduction information unit; and (paragraphs 335-337 and 374-378 teaches an instant of control data in the form of "selectTitle function is called" when a particular title from the Menu is selected. The "selectTitle function" thus causes the "getTitle" function of the "Package instance" which calls the "play" function of the "Title Instance". Thus when a menu is displayed (by running menu.xml file) and a title is selected, the title.xml file is run to playback the selected title. The stream.mpg file and stream.xml file corresponding to the title is therefore selected for playback (specifically paragraph 314)); and wherein the plurality of commands comprises commands configured to change an execution order of the commands (as discussed above, the number of commands, for at least the "selectTitle" function exists for all the various titles stored upon an optical disc (see Fig. 31). Based on a selection of "Title 1" vs. a selection of "Title 2", the execution order of the commands associated with each Title is changed).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the ability to include a TIMEMAP comprising information on reproducing time and the position corresponding to the reproduction time

and to include an executable program to include commands to control and change the execution order of the as taught by Okada into claim 1 of #911 because said incorporation allows for the benefit of accurately performing playback of the stream at a given particular time (Okada: paragraph 268).

Claim 43 of the instant application is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 42 of the instant application above and furthermore, Okada teaches the claimed wherein the audio visual stream data is video object data, still image data, or audio data (Fig. 14 and 15, teaches of AV Data or Still images stored as "stream*.mpg", "titlemenu.jpg", "chaptermenu*.jpg", etc). The prior motivation as discussed above is incorporated herein.

Claims 44 and 45 of the instant application corresponds to claims 3 and 4 of #911.

Regarding claim 46 of the instant application, Okada teaches the claimed wherein the "selectTitle" function is due to a result of a user selecting a particular title for playback (see Fig. 31). The prior motivation as discussed above is incorporated herein.

Medium claims 1, 2 and 4-5 of the instant application are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above in apparatus claims 42-45 of the instant application, respectively.

Medium claim 47 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 42 of the instant application above.

8. **Claims 1-2, 4-5, and 42-47** are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 5 of copending Application No. 12/170,992, hereinafter #992 in view of Okada (US 2002/0194618) (hereinafter "Okada"). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because
9. **Regarding claim 42** of the instant application:

Claim 42 of 10/658,799	Claims 1 and 5 of 12/170,992
A reproducing apparatus for reproducing data from an optical data storage medium, comprising: a reader configured to read a first file, second file and an executable program from the data storage medium, the first file comprising at least one clip, each clip comprising audio visual stream data	A method for reproducing data from a data storage medium, comprising: reading at least one clip comprising audio visual stream data
a time map comprising information on reproduction time when the audio visual stream data is reproduced and information on a reproduction position of the audio visual stream data corresponding to the reproduction time	
a second file comprising at least one reproduction information unit for reproducing audio visual stream data, each reproduction information unit comprising information indicating a reproduction interval of a corresponding clip	title information indicating at least one second reproduction information ; at least one second reproduction information comprising at least one first reproduction information
an executable program comprising navigation data including comprising at least one command, each command controlling reproduction of	

a corresponding reproduction information unit	
a controller configured to reproduce the audio visual stream data from the optical data storage medium based on the first file, the second file, and the executable program, wherein the first file, the second file and the executable program third are recorded separately on the optical data storage medium.	reproducing the audio visual stream data from the data storage medium based on the clip, the first reproduction information, the second reproduction information, and the title information, wherein a first file comprising at least one clip, a second file comprising the first reproduction information and the second reproduction information, and a third file comprising the title information are recorded separately on the data storage medium.
wherein the plurality of commands comprises commands configured to change an execution order of the commands	

However, claims 1 and 5 of #992 fails to teach a time map comprising information on reproduction time when the audio visual stream data is reproduced and information on a reproduction position of the audio visual stream data corresponding to the reproduction time; an executable program comprising navigation data including comprising at least one command, each command controlling reproduction of a corresponding reproduction information unit and wherein the plurality of commands comprises commands configured to change an execution order of the commands.

In an analogous art, Okada teaches a time map comprising information on reproduction time when the audio visual stream data is reproduced (met by "stream.xml" in Fig. 19 and paragraphs 250-272 and the "stream*.mpg" in paragraphs 153-154, 252-254. The "stream.xml" further includes "TIMEMAP" information as discussed in paragraphs 266-272) and information on a reproduction position of the audio visual

stream data corresponding to the reproduction time (Paragraphs 267-272 teaches that the "stream.xml" further includes "ENTRY" data that includes time information and address information used during playback to find the respective reproduction positions); an executable program comprising navigation data comprising at least one command, each command controlling reproduction of a corresponding reproduction information unit; and (paragraphs 335-337 and 374-378 teaches an instant of control data in the form of "selectTitle function is called" when a particular title from the Menu is selected. The "selectTitle function" thus causes the "getTitle" function of the "Package instance" which calls the "play" function of the "Title Instance". Thus when a menu is displayed (by running menu.xml file) and a title is selected, the title.xml file is run to playback the selected title. The stream.mpg file and stream.xml file corresponding to the title is therefore selected for playback (specifically paragraph 314)); and wherein the plurality of commands comprises commands configured to change an execution order of the commands (as discussed above, the number of commands, for at least the "selectTitle" function exists for all the various titles stored upon an optical disc (see Fig. 31). Based on a selection of "Title 1" vs. a selection of "Title 2", the execution order of the commands associated with each Title is changed).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the ability to include a TIMEMAP comprising information on reproducing time and the position corresponding to the reproduction time and to include an executable program to include commands to control and change the execution order of the as taught by Okada into claims 1 and 5 of #992 because said

incorporation allows for the benefit of accurately performing playback of the stream at a given particular time (Okada: paragraph 268).

Claim 43 of the instant application is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 42 of the instant application above and furthermore, Okada teaches the claimed wherein the audio visual stream data is video object data, still image data, or audio data (Fig. 14 and 15, teaches of AV Data or Still images stored as "stream*.mpg", "titlemenu.jpg", "chaptermenu*.jpg", etc). The prior motivation as discussed above is incorporated herein.

Claims 44 and 45 of the instant application, claim 5 of #992 recites " wherein a first file comprising at least one clip, a second file comprising the first reproduction information and the second reproduction information, and a third file comprising the title information are recorded separately on the data storage medium" which are equivalent to claims 44 and 45 of the instant application.

Medium claims 1, 2 and 4-5 of the instant application are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above in apparatus claims 42-45 of the instant application, respectively.

Regarding claim 46 of the instant application, Okada teaches the claimed wherein the "selectTitle" function is due to a result of a user selecting a particular title for playback (see Fig. 31). The prior motivation as discussed above is incorporated herein.

Medium claim 47 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 42 of the instant application above.

10. **Claims 1-2, 4-5, and 42-47** are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6 and 10 of copending Application No. 12/170,975, hereinafter #975 in view of Okada (US 2002/0194618) (hereinafter "Okada"). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because
11. **Regarding claim 42** of the instant application:

Claim 42 of 10/658,799	Claims 6 and 10 of 12/170,975
A reproducing apparatus for reproducing data from an optical data storage medium, comprising: a reader configured to read a first file, second file and an executable program from the data storage medium, the first file comprising at least one clip, each clip comprising audio visual stream data	A reproducing apparatus for reproducing data from a data storage medium, comprising: a reader which reads at least one clip comprising audio visual stream data
a time map comprising information on reproduction time when the audio visual stream data is reproduced and information on a reproduction position of the audio visual stream data corresponding to the reproduction time	
a second file comprising at least one reproduction information unit for reproducing audio visual stream data, each reproduction information unit comprising information indicating a reproduction interval of a corresponding clip	title information indicating at least one second reproduction information ; at least one second reproduction information comprising at least one first reproduction information
an executable program comprising	

navigation data including comprising at least one command, each command controlling reproduction of a corresponding reproduction information unit	
a controller configured to reproduce the audio visual stream data from the optical data storage medium based on the first file, the second file, and the executable program, wherein the first file, the second file and the executable program third are recorded separately on the optical data storage medium.	a controller which reproduces the audio visual stream data from the data storage medium based on the clip, the first reproduction information, the second reproduction information, and the title information, wherein a first file comprising at least one clip, a second file comprising the first reproduction information and the second reproduction information, and a third file comprising the title information are recorded separately on the data storage medium
wherein the plurality of commands comprises commands configured to change an execution order of the commands	

However, claims 6 and 10 of #975 fails to teach a time map comprising information on reproduction time when the audio visual stream data is reproduced and information on a reproduction position of the audio visual stream data corresponding to the reproduction time; an executable program comprising navigation data including comprising at least one command, each command controlling reproduction of a corresponding reproduction information unit and wherein the plurality of commands comprises commands configured to change an execution order of the commands.

In an analogous art, Okada teaches a time map comprising information on reproduction time when the audio visual stream data is reproduced (met by "stream.xml" in Fig. 19 and paragraphs 250-272 and the "stream*.mpg" in paragraphs 153-154, 252-

254. The "stream.xml" further includes "TIMEMAP" information as discussed in paragraphs 266-272") and information on a reproduction position of the audio visual stream data corresponding to the reproduction time (Paragraphs 267-272 teaches that the "stream.xml" further includes "ENTRY" data that includes time information and address information used during playback to find the respective reproduction positions); an executable program comprising navigation data comprising at least one command, each command controlling reproduction of a corresponding reproduction information unit; and (paragraphs 335-337 and 374-378 teaches an instant of control data in the form of "selectTitle function is called" when a particular title from the Menu is selected. The "selectTitle function" thus causes the "getTitle" function of the "Package instance" which calls the "play" function of the "Title Instance". Thus when a menu is displayed (by running menu.xml file) and a title is selected, the title.xml file is run to playback the selected title. The stream.mpg file and stream.xml file corresponding to the title is therefore selected for playback (specifically paragraph 314)); and wherein the plurality of commands comprises commands configured to change an execution order of the commands (as discussed above, the number of commands, for at least the "selectTitle" function exists for all the various titles stored upon an optical disc (see Fig. 31). Based on a selection of "Title 1" vs. a selection of "Title 2", the execution order of the commands associated with each Title is changed).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the ability to include a TIMEMAP comprising information on reproducing time and the position corresponding to the reproduction time

and to include an executable program to include commands to control and change the execution order of the as taught by Okada into claims 6 and 10 of #975 because said incorporation allows for the benefit of accurately performing playback of the stream at a given particular time (Okada: paragraph 268).

Claim 43 of the instant application is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 42 of the instant application above and furthermore, Okada teaches the claimed wherein the audio visual stream data is video object data, still image data, or audio data (Fig. 14 and 15, teaches of AV Data or Still images stored as "stream*.mpg", "titlemenu.jpg", "chaptermenu*.jpg", etc). The prior motivation as discussed above is incorporated herein.

Claims 44 and 45 of the instant application, claim 10 of #975 recites "wherein a first file comprising at least one clip, a second file comprising the first reproduction information and the second reproduction information, and a third file comprising the title information are recorded separately on the data storage medium" which are equivalent to claims 44 and 45 of the instant application.

Medium claims 1, 2 and 4-5 of the instant application are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above in apparatus claims 42-45 of the instant application, respectively.

Regarding claim 46 of the instant application, Okada teaches the claimed wherein the "selectTitle" function is due to a result of a user selecting a particular title for playback (see Fig. 31). The prior motivation as discussed above is incorporated herein.

Medium claim 47 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 42 of the instant application above.

12. **Claims 1-2, 4-5, and 42-47** are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 3-4 of copending Application No. 12/170,964, hereinafter #964 in view of Okada (US 2002/0194618) (hereinafter "Okada"). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because

13. **Regarding claim 42** of the instant application:

Claim 42 of 10/658,799	Claim 1 of 12/170,964
A reproducing apparatus for reproducing data from an optical data storage medium, comprising: a reader configured to read a first file, second file and an executable program from the data storage medium, the first file comprising at least one clip, each clip comprising audio visual stream data	A method for reproducing data from a data storage medium, comprising: reading a first file comprising at least one clip, the clip comprising the audio visual stream data
a time map comprising information on reproduction time when the audio visual stream data is reproduced and information on a reproduction position of the audio visual stream data corresponding to the reproduction time	
a second file comprising at least one reproduction information unit for reproducing audio visual stream data, each reproduction information unit comprising information indicating a	a third file comprising navigation data which is used to select the reproduction information

reproduction interval of a corresponding clip	
an executable program comprising navigation data including comprising at least one command, each command controlling reproduction of a corresponding reproduction information unit	
a controller configured to reproduce the audio visual stream data from the optical data storage medium based on the first file, the second file, and the executable program, wherein the first file, the second file and the executable program third are recorded separately on the optical data storage medium.	reproducing the audio visual stream data from the data storage medium based on the first file, the second file, and the third file, wherein the first file, the second file, and the third file are recorded separately on the data storage medium.
wherein the plurality of commands comprises commands configured to change an execution order of the commands	

It should be noted that the table above distinguishes the equivalent limitations as recited claim 42 of the instant application in comparison to the limitations as recited in claim 1 of #964.

However, claim 1 of #964 fails to teach a time map comprising information on reproduction time when the audio visual stream data is reproduced and information on a reproduction position of the audio visual stream data corresponding to the reproduction time; an executable program comprising navigation data including comprising at least one command, each command controlling reproduction of a corresponding reproduction

information unit and wherein the plurality of commands comprises commands configured to change an execution order of the commands.

In an analogous art, Okada teaches a time map comprising information on reproduction time when the audio visual stream data is reproduced (met by "stream.xml" in Fig. 19 and paragraphs 250-272 and the "stream*.mpg" in paragraphs 153-154, 252-254. The "stream.xml" further includes "TIMEMAP" information as discussed in paragraphs 266-272) and information on a reproduction position of the audio visual stream data corresponding to the reproduction time (Paragraphs 267-272 teaches that the "stream.xml" further includes "ENTRY" data that includes time information and address information used during playback to find the respective reproduction positions); an executable program comprising navigation data comprising at least one command, each command controlling reproduction of a corresponding reproduction information unit; and (paragraphs 335-337 and 374-378 teaches an instant of control data in the form of "selectTitle function is called" when a particular title from the Menu is selected. The "selectTitle function" thus causes the "getTitle" function of the "Package instance" which calls the "play" function of the "Title Instance". Thus when a menu is displayed (by running menu.xml file) and a title is selected, the title.xml file is run to playback the selected title. The stream.mpg file and stream.xml file corresponding to the title is therefore selected for playback (specifically paragraph 314)); and wherein the plurality of commands comprises commands configured to change an execution order of the commands (as discussed above, the number of commands, for at least the "selectTitle" function exists for all the various titles stored upon an optical disc (see Fig. 31). Based

on a selection of "Title 1" vs. a selection of "Title 2", the execution order of the commands associated with each Title is changed).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the ability to include a TIMEMAP comprising information on reproducing time and the position corresponding to the reproduction time and to include an executable program to include commands to control and change the execution order of the as taught by Okada into claim 1 of #964 because said incorporation allows for the benefit of accurately performing playback of the stream at a given particular time (Okada: paragraph 268).

Claim 43 of the instant application is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 42 of the instant application above and furthermore, Okada teaches the claimed wherein the audio visual stream data is video object data, still image data, or audio data (Fig. 14 and 15, teaches of AV Data or Still images stored as "stream".mpg", "titlemenu.jpg", "chaptermenu".jpg", etc). The prior motivation as discussed above is incorporated herein.

Claims 44 and 45 of the instant application corresponds to claims 3 and 4, respectively of #964.

Medium claims 1, 2 and 4-5 of the instant application are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above in apparatus claims 42-45 of the instant application, respectively.

Regarding claim 46 of the instant application, Okada teaches the claimed wherein the “selectTitle” function is due to a result of a user selecting a particular title for playback (see Fig. 31). The prior motivation as discussed above is incorporated herein.

Medium claim 47 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 42 of the instant application above.

14. This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

15. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

16. **Claims 1, 2, 4-5 and 42-47** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Okada et al. (US 2002/0194618) hereinafter “Okada”.

Regarding claims 1, 42 and 47, Okada teaches a reproducing apparatus (paragraph 294) for reproducing data from a data storage medium (paragraphs 150-155 teaches storing/recording e-package data (meeting claimed multimedia data) to an “optical disc” (meeting claimed “storage medium”), comprising:

a reader configured to read a first file (It is noted that the claim language fails to distinguish what constitutes a “first file”. The examiner’s interprets the claimed “first file”

as a set of at least one or more files that is stored on a particular storage medium. This interpretation is also commensurate with the discussion in the interview dated 11/18/2010 in the parent application 10/658,799 ('799 application) wherein the applicants referred to the term "first file" as a combination of "file1.mpg" and "file1timemap.dat" in Fig. 14 of the '799 application), a second file (It is noted that the claim language fails to distinguish what constitutes a "second file". The examiner's interprets the claimed "second file" as a set of at least one or more files that is stored on a particular storage medium. As such, the claimed second file is met by the "Title.xml" file of Okada, see paragraphs 200-216), and an executable program (It is noted that the claim language fails to distinguish what constitutes a "third file". The examiner's interprets the claimed "executable program" as a set of at least one or more files that is stored on a particular storage medium. As such, the claimed executable program is met by the "Menu.xml" file of Okada, see paragraphs 335-337 and 374-378) from the data storage medium, the first file comprising at least one clip, each clip comprising audio visual stream data (paragraph 153-154, 252-254 and Fig. 15 and 19 teaches of a directory on the storage medium, wherein the "audio visual stream data" comprised in a "clip" is met by "stream*.mpg" files. The "stream*.mpg" files are read upon playback) and a time map comprising information on reproduction time when the audio visual stream data is reproduced (met by "stream.xml" in Fig. 19 and paragraphs 250-272 and the "stream*.mpg" in paragraphs 153-154, 252-254. The "stream.xml" further includes "TIMEMAP" information as discussed in paragraphs 266-272") and information on a reproduction position of the audio visual stream data corresponding to the reproduction

time (Paragraphs 267-272 teaches that the "stream.xml" further includes "ENTRY" data that includes time information and address information used during playback to find the respective reproduction positions),

the second file comprising at least one reproduction information unit for reproducing audio visual stream data, each reproduction information unit comprising information indicating a reproduction interval of a corresponding clip (paragraphs 200-216 teaches of "LINK_LIST", "CHAPTER_LIST" and "BRANCH" information stored within the "Title.xml" file. The Chapter and Branch data includes reproduction information indicating intervals of reproduction ("start time (in)" and "end time (out)"), and

the executable program comprising navigation data comprising at least one command, each command controlling reproduction of a corresponding reproduction information unit; and (paragraphs 335-337 and 374-378 teaches an instant of control data in the form of "selectTitle function is called" when a particular title from the Menu is selected. The "selectTitle function" thus causes the "getTitle" function of the "Package instance" which calls the "play" function of the "Title Instance". Thus when a menu is displayed (by running menu.xml file) and a title is selected, the title.xml file is run to playback the selected title. The stream.mpg file and stream.xml file corresponding to the title is therefore selected for playback (specifically paragraph 314)); and

a controller (paragraph 295 teaches a CPU 103 which controls the apparatus) which reproduces the audio visual stream data from the data storage medium based on

the first file, the second file, and the executable program (as discussed wherein the menu.xml, title.xml and the stream*.mpg and stream.xml files are used for playback),

wherein the first file, the second file, and the executable program are recorded separately on the data storage medium (see Fig. 15 and 19 wherein the "stream*.mpg", "stream.xml", "title.xml", "menu.xml" are store separately on the medium);

As to claim 47, Okada teaches the claimed wherein the plurality of commands comprises commands configured to change an execution order of the commands (as discussed above, the number of commands, for at least the "selectTitle" function exists for all the various titles stored upon an optical disc (see Fig. 31). Based on a selection of "Title 1" vs. a selection of "Title 2", the execution order of the commands associated with each Title is changed).

Regarding claims 2 and 43, Okada teaches the claimed wherein the audio visual stream data is video object data, still image data or audio data (See Fig. 15 for various files).

Regarding claims 4-5 and 44-45, Okada teaches the claimed wherein a first layer to which the at least one reproduction information unit is distinguishable, logically and physically, from a second layer which the reproduction information belongs to, and the second layer is distinguishable, logically and physically, from a second layer to which the navigation data belongs (see Fig. 15 and 19 wherein the "stream*.mpg", "stream.xml", "title.xml", "menu.xml" are store separately on the medium. As discussed in the claim 1 above, the "menu.xml" is the first file that is called during reproduction, which in turn calls a "title.xml" when a particular title is selected by the (paragraph 374-

378), which in turn calls the "stream.xml" files (paragraph 314), which in turn calls the "stream*.mpg" files for playback. Therefore, the claimed "first layer" is met by the "stream*.mpg" and "stream.xml" file and the "second layer" is met by the "title.xml" and the "third layer" is met by the "menu.xml" file. Therefore, the "second layer" and "third layer" are an upper layer of the "first layer" because of the logical processing order in which the "title.xml" and the "menu.xml" files calls on the "stream.xml" and "stream*.mpg" files).

Claim 46 is rejected for the same discussion in claim 42 above, wherein the "selectTitle" function is due to a result of a user selecting a particular title for playback (see Fig. 31).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GELEK TOPGYAL whose telephone number is (571)272-8891. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am -5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter-Anthony Pappas can be reached on 571-272-7646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gelek Topgyal/
Examiner, Art Unit 2481

/Peter-Anthony Pappas/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2481